# **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 13-22-01-04-0000

Name: Millbrook Central School District

Superintendent: W. Michael Mahoney

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	82	79	88
First	84	85	82
Second	91	86	79
Third	100	95	83
Fourth	97	100	98
Fifth	115	97	112
Sixth	96	113	96
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	94	100	109
Eighth	88	97	105
Ninth	94	102	87
Tenth	99	67	96
Eleventh	74	95	64
Twelfth	84	69	99
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1198	1185	1198

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	2001-02 2002-03 2003-0		2002-03		3–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	18	1.5%	13	1.1%	16	1.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	27	2.3%	33	2.8%	29	2.4%
Hispanic	33	2.8%	48	4.1%	51	4.3%
White (Not Hispanic)	1120	93.5%	1091	92.1%	1102	92.0%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Kindergarten	21	20	18
Common Branch	23	22	23
English Grade 8	22	24	26
Mathematics Grade 8	22	18	22
Science Grade 8	22	24	29
Social Studies Grade 8	22	23	27
English Grade 10	20	19	21
Mathematics Grade 10	22	17	20
Science Grade 10	22	0	31
Social Studies Grade 10	21	0	0

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district
	resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	3	0.3%	3	0.3%	17	1.4%
Eligible for Free Lunch	43	3.6%	91	7.7%	80	6.7%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.5%		94.8%		94.5%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	24	2.0%	50	4.2%	59	5.0%

## Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	2.3%	2.2%	2.6%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

Start Courses					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	93				
Total Other Professional Staff	17				
Total Paraprofessionals	23				
Teaching Out of Certification*	2				

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

		2001–02			2002–03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	78	78	100%	61	61	100%	89	78	88%	
Students with Disabilities	2	2	100%	6	6	100%	7	0	0%	
All Students	80	80	100%	67	67	100%	96	78	81%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	41	47	1	2	3	2
Percent	43%	49%	1%	2%	3%	2%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
7	0	1	8

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	2001–02		2002-03		200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	3		3		5	1.6%
Education	Entered GED Program*	2		0		4	1.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	5		3		9	2.8%
Students	Dropped Out	0		1		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		1		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	3	0.9%	4	1.2%	5	1.4%
Students	Entered GED Program*	2	0.6%	0	0.0%	4	1.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters	5	1.4%	4	1.2%	9	2.6%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	100%	0%	0%
2–3	48%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4-12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	25
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	25
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	7%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	14	100%	16	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	75	92%	83	69%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2003	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	5	100%	0	0%	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	1	#	0	0%	2	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	8	63%	0	0%	4	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	1	#	0	0%	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	0	0%	5	80%	

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

	regents			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	69	97	73	7	7	5
Number Scoring 55–100	66	95	72	5	7	4
Number Scoring 65–100	64	94	70	4	6	3
Number Scoring 85–100	32	35	28	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	98%	99%	71%	100%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	97%	96%	57%	86%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	46%	36%	38%	0%	0%	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	89	91	94	7	4	8
Number Scoring 55–100	69	80	91	1	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	60	68	86	1	#	4
Number Scoring 85–100	12	15	22	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	78%	88%	97%	14%	#	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	67%	75%	91%	14%	#	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	13%	16%	23%	0%	#	0%
		athematics B				
Number Tested	0	0	22	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	4	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	82%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	82%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	18%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo				•
Number Tested	95	75	90	6	5	9
Number Scoring 55–100	95	71	82	6	3	7
Number Scoring 65–100	91	67	77	5	3	4
Number Scoring 85–100	31	23	40	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	95%	91%	100%	60%	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	89%	86%	83%	60%	44%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	31%	44%	0%	0%	11%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gove	rnment			•
Number Tested	71	96	66	7	4	3
Number Scoring 55–100	69	94	66	5	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	63	89	65	4	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	26	49	33	1	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	98%	100%	71%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	93%	98%	57%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	51%	50%	14%	#	#

(Form - F)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04			
Living Environment									
Number Tested	98	66	88	10	1	8			
Number Scoring 55–100	94	66	87	8	#	8			
Number Scoring 65–100	91	66	81	5	#	7			
Number Scoring 85–100	24	22	28	0	#	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	100%	99%	80%	#	100%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	100%	92%	50%	#	88%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	33%	32%	0%	#	0%			
	Physical S	etting/Earth <b>S</b>	Science						
Number Tested	88	80	70	9	2	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	76	77	70	5	#	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	65	74	68	3	#	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	14	21	20	1	#	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	86%	96%	100%	56%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	93%	97%	33%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	16%	26%	29%	11%	#	0%			
		Setting/Chen	nistry						
Number Tested	35	57	43	0	1	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	34	43	25	0	#	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	25	29	13	0	#	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	5	6	2	0	#	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	75%	58%	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	51%	30%	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	14%	11%	5%	0%	#	0%			
	Physica	l Setting/Phy	sics						
Number Tested			12			0			
Number Scoring 55–100			10			0			
Number Scoring 65–100			10			0			
Number Scoring 85–100			3			0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			83%			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			83%			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			25%	4 11	41 D	0%			

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		_		
Number Tested	18	10	15	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	18	9	15	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	17	8	15	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	12	7	13	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	90%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	80%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	67%	70%	87%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa				
Number Tested	52	54	56	1	1	3
Number Scoring 55–100	50	53	55	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	47	51	53	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	12	19	25	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	98%	98%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	94%	95%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	35%	45%	#	#	#
		rehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02 2002-03 2003-04 2001-02 20					2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	2	2	0	0	1	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	0	0	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	0	0	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	0	0	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	0%	0%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	0%	0%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	0%	0%	#	0%				

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	13	100%	0	0%	1	#
Students with Disabilities	1	#	0	0%	0	0%

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	100	3%	1%	40%	56%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	11	27%	27%	36%	9%
	All Students	111	5%	4%	40%	51%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	87	0%	24%	49%	26%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	13	0%	62%	38%	0%
	All Students	100	0%	29%	48%	23%
						(T)

(Form - I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Tested Not Tested		Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	4	1	#	#	#	#			
		Middle Le	evel						
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary l	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	88	88	88	8	8	8	96	96	96
Number Scoring 55–64	2	2	0	0	1	2	2	3	2
Number Scoring 65–84	52	33	54	6	3	3	58	36	57
Number Scoring 85–100	31	50	29	0	0	0	31	50	29
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		Stude	Students with Disabilities							
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			11			1				
Beginning (0–18)			0			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			#				
Advanced (32–36)			7			#				
Proficient (37–39)			4			#				
	Readi	ing and Writin	g (Grade K–1)	)						
Number Tested			11			1				
Beginning (0–14)			2			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			3			#				
Advanced (25–32)			3			#				
Proficient (33–35)			3			#				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)						
Number Tested			13			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0				
Advanced (32–36)			1			0				
Proficient (37–39)			10			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)	l						
Number Tested			13			0				
Beginning (0–14)			2			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			2			0				
Advanced (25–32)			3			0				
Proficient (33–35)			6			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u> </u>						
Number Tested			5			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			5			0				
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			5			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			4			0				
Proficient (33–35)			1			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

	W TOTK State En	All Students		Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade 7–8)										
Number Tested			3			2				
Beginning (0–18)			#			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			#				
Advanced (32–36)			#			#				
Proficient (37–39)			#			#				
	Read	ling and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)							
Number Tested			3			2				
Beginning (0–14)			#			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			#				
Advanced (25–32)			#			#				
Proficient (33–35)			#			#				
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)						
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
Reading and Writing (Grade 9–12)										
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)